## STONEFIELD

April II, 2023

Kenneth Spiegle
Major Access Permits
New Jersey Department of Transportation
1035 Parkway Avenue
PO Box 600
Trenton, NJ 08625

RE: Traffic Analysis Report<br>Proposed Mixed-Use Development<br>NJSH Route 35 \& Asbury Avenue<br>Block 701, Lot I<br>Neptune Township, Monmouth County, New Jersey<br>Application No. (A-35-C-27855-202I)<br>SE\&D Job No. PRI-200142

Dear Mr. Spiegle:
Stonefield Engineering and Design, LLC ("Stonefield") has prepared this analysis to examine the potential traffic impacts based on the change in the development plan for the proposed mixed-use development located at 704 NJSH Route 35 in Neptune Township, Monmouth County, New Jersey (Application Number A-35-C-27855202I). The subject property is bounded by Asbury Avenue to the north, NJSH Route 35 to the south, Hollow Brook to the east, and a NJSH Route 35/Asbury Avenue interchange to the west. The subject property is designated as Block 701, Lot I as depicted on the Township of Neptune Tax Map. Under the proposed development plan, the previously approved 4,500-square-foot convenience store with six (6) fueling stations would be replaced by a 5,670 -square-foot convenience store with six (6) fueling stations. Access to the proposed mixed-use development would remain consistent with the previously approved access plan via one (I) right-in/right-out driveway and one (I) full-movement driveway along NJSH Route 35 and two (2) full-movement driveways along Asbury Avenue. An exclusive left-turn lane along Route 35 southbound is proposed at the southerly site driveway and would provide storage for approximately three (3) passenger vehicles.

## Trip Generation

Trip generation projections for the proposed mixed-use development were prepared utilizing NJDOT's Highway Access Permit System (HAPS) and ITE's Trip Generation Manual, II ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition. It is noted that the HAPS does not contain data for the enter/exit trip distribution for its land uses. Therefore, the enter/exit trip distribution for each land use was obtained from the ITE's Trip Generation Manual, II ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition. Trip generation rates associated with Land Use 820 "Shopping Center," Land Use 854 "Discount Supermarket," Land Use 934 "Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Through Window," and Land Use 960 "Super Convenience Market/Gas Station" were cited for the proposed 8, I33 square feet of retail, 20,442-square-foot discount supermarket, 3,316-square-foot fast food restaurant with drive-through service, and 5,670-square-foot convenience store with fuel sales, respectively. Table I provides the weekday morning, weekday evening, and Saturday midday peak hour trip generation volumes associated with the proposed mixed-use development.

## TABLE I - PROPOSED TRIP GENERATION

| Land Use | Weekday Morning Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday Evening Peak Hour |  |  | Saturday Midday Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total |
| 8,I33 SF Shopping Center HAPS Land Use 820 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 44 | 49 | 93 | 45 | 40 | 85 |
| 20,442 SF Discount Supermarket HAPS Land Use 854 | I | 1 | 2 | 98 | 98 | 196 | 131 | 130 | 261 |
| 3,316 SF Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive Through HAPS Land Use 934 | 86 | 83 | 169 | 89 | 81 | 170 | 93 | 90 | 183 |
| 5,670 SF Super Convenience Store/Gas Station HAPS Land Use 960 | 236 | 235 | 471 | 196 | 197 | 393 | 181 | 181 | 362 |
| Total | 328 | 322 | 650 | 427 | 425 | 852 | 450 | 441 | 891 |

As stated within Chapter 6 of ITE's Trip Generation Handbook, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Edition, internally captured trips can be a component of the travel patterns at mixed-use developments, such as the one proposed. When combined within a single development, individual land uses tend to interact, and thus attract a portion of each other's trip generation, such as customers of the discount supermarket eating at the fast-food restaurant. To calculate trip generation for mixed-use developments such as the proposed development, ITE recommends the procedure presented in the NCHRP Report 684: Enhancing Internal Trip Capture Estimation for Mixed-Use Developments. The NJDOT references these rates as part of its Internal Trip Capture Rates for Trip Destinations within a MixedUse Development, which was cited to provide the internal trip capture percentages for the proposed site. Utilizing the published data, internal trips were calculated between the proposed uses during the weekday morning, weekday evening, and Saturday midday peak-hours. The internal capture portion of the site-generated traffic is shown in Table 2.

TABLE 2 - INTERNAL TRIP CAPTURE REDUCTION

| Land Use | Weekday Morning Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday Evening Peak Hour |  |  | Saturday Midday Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total |
| 8,I33 SF Shopping Center HAPS Land Use 820 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 44 | 49 | 93 | 45 | 40 | 85 |
| Internal Trip Capture Reduction | - | - | - | -22 | -20 | -42 | -28 | -24 | -52 |
| Subtotal | 5 | 3 | 8 | 22 | 29 | 51 | 17 | 16 | 33 |
| 20,442 SF Discount Supermarket HAPS Land Use 854 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 98 | 98 | 196 | 131 | 130 | 261 |
| Internal Trip Capture Reduction | - | - | - | -40 | -37 | -77 | -53 | -52 | -105 |
| Subtotal | 1 | 1 | 2 | 58 | 61 | 119 | 78 | 78 | 156 |
| 3,316 SF Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive Through HAPS Land Use 934 | 86 | 83 | 169 | 89 | 81 | 170 | 93 | 90 | 183 |
| Internal Trip Capture Reduction | -31 | -12 | -43 | -26 | -33 | -59 | - | - | - |
| Subtotal | 55 | 71 | 126 | 63 | 48 | 111 | 93 | 90 | 183 |
| 5,670 SF Super Convenience Store/Gas Station HAPS Land Use 960 | 236 | 235 | 471 | 196 | 197 | 393 | 181 | 181 | 362 |
| Internal Trip Capture Reduction | -12 | -31 | -43 | -49 | -47 | -96 | -50 | -55 | -105 |
| Subtotal | 224 | 204 | 428 | 147 | 150 | 297 | 131 | 126 | 257 |
| Total | 285 | 279 | 564 | 290 | 288 | 578 | 319 | 310 | 629 |

As stated within Chapter 10 of ITE's Trip Generation Handbook, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Edition, there are instances when the total number of trips generated by a site is different from the amount of new traffic added to the street
system by the generator. Convenience stores with gas, retail stores, supermarkets, and fast-food restaurants are specifically located on or adjacent to busy streets to attract motorists already on the roadway. Therefore, the uses of the proposed development would be expected to attract a portion of its trips from the traffic passing the site on the way from an origin to an ultimate destination. These trips do not add new traffic to the adjacent roadway system and are referred to as pass-by trips.

Based upon the published NJDOT data, the following pass-by rates were utilized to calculate each land uses site-generated traffic volumes:

- Land Use 820 - $34 \%$ during the weekday evening peak hour and $26 \%$ during the Saturday midday peak hour;
- Land Use 854-21\% during the weekday evening peak hour;
- Land Use 934 - 49\% during the weekday morning peak hour and $50 \%$ during the weekday evening and Saturday midday peak hours;
- Land Use $960-76 \%$ during the weekday morning and weekday evening peak hours and 50\% during the Saturday midday peak hours.

Table 3 shows the additional site generated traffic for the proposed development after applying the appropriate trip reductions.

TABLE 3 - PROPOSED TRIP GENERATION

| Land Use |  |  | Wee | $\begin{gathered} \text { day I } \\ \text { tak H } \end{gathered}$ | rning $\mathbf{I r}$ |  | day ak | ning |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { day I } \\ & \text { ak H } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Land Use | Amount | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total |
| 820 | Shopping Center | 8,133 SF | 5 | 3 | 8 | 44 | 49 | 93 | 45 | 40 | 85 |
| 854 | Discount Supermarket | 20,442 SF | 1 | 1 | 2 | 98 | 98 | 196 | 131 | 130 | 261 |
| 934 | Fast Food Restaurant with Drive Through | 3,316 SF | 86 | 83 | 169 | 89 | 81 | 170 | 93 | 90 | 183 |
| 960 | Super Convenience Store/Gas Station | 5,670 SF | 236 | 235 | 471 | 196 | 197 | 393 | 181 | I81 | 362 |
| ITE Trip Generation Total |  |  | 328 | 322 | 650 | 427 | 425 | 852 | 450 | 441 | 891 |
| Internal Capture Trip Reduction |  |  | -43 | -43 | -86 | -137 | -137 | -274 | -131 | -131 | -262 |
| Land Use 820 Pass-By Trip Reduction |  |  | - | - | - | -9 | -9 | -18 | -4 | -4 | -8 |
| Land Use 854 Pass-By Trip Reduction |  |  | - | - | - | -12 | -12 | -24 | - | - | - |
| Land Use 934 Pass-By Trip Reduction |  |  | -31 | -31 | -62 | -28 | -28 | -56 | -46 | -46 | -92 |
| Land Use 960 Pass-By Trip Reduction |  |  | -163 | -163 | -326 | -113 | -113 | -226 | -65 | -65 | -130 |
| Total New Vehicular Trips |  |  | 91 | 85 | 176 | 128 | 126 | 254 | 204 | 195 | 399 |

At the site driveways, the calculated number of pass-by trips is shown as a negative number at the through movement as the vehicles are temporarily diverted from the through travel stream into and out of the site access point.

## Trip Assignment/Distribution

The trips were assigned to the roadway network based on the previously approved trip distribution for the mixed-use development. Appended Figures I and 2 illustrate the "New" Site-Generated traffic volumes and "Pass-By" Site-Generated traffic volumes at the NJSH Route 35 driveways. Figure 3 illustrates the Total Site-

Generated Traffic Volumes. The Build Peak Summer Period traffic volumes are summarized on appended Figure 4 and the Build Yearly Average traffic volumes are summarized on appended Figure 5.

## Previously Approved vs. Proposed Trip Generation

The proposed trip generation was compared to the previously approved trip generation at the site driveways along NJSH Route 35 per the access permit executed in July 2022. Table 4 compares the trip generation of the proposed development and the trip generation of the previously approved development.

TABLE 4 - PREVIOUSLY APPROVED VS. PROPOSED TRIP GENERATION

|  | Weekday Morning Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday Evening Peak Hour |  |  | Saturday Midday Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total |
| Previously Approved Trip Generation | 109 | 104 | 213 | 110 | 93 | 203 | 124 | 98 | 222 |
| Proposed Trip Generation | 129 | 123 | 252 | 128 | 109 | 237 | 142 | 112 | 254 |
| Trip Generation Difference | +20 | +19 | +39 | +18 | +16 | +34 | +18 | +14 | +32 |

As shown in Table 4, the proposed development would generate 39 additional trips during the weekday morning peak hour, 34 additional trips during the weekday evening peak hour, and 32 additional trips during the Saturday midday peak hour at the site driveways along NJSH Route 35 compared to the July 2022 executed access permit.

## Build Condition LOS/Capacity Analysis

A Level of Service and Volume/Capacity analysis was conducted for the Peak Summer and Typical Yearly Build Conditions during the weekday morning, weekday evening, and Saturday midday peak hours at the southerly site driveway to analyze the adequacy of the left-turn lane storage proposed along NJSH Route 35. Tables 5 and 6 summarize the Peak Summer Period and Yearly Average Build Conditions Level of Service and delay values at the southerly site driveway compared to the previously approved Levels of Service and delay.

## NJSH ROUTE 35 \& SOUTHERLY SITE DRIVEWAY

WB (Westbound) approach is the site driveway approach SB (Southbound) approach is the NJSH Route 35 approach $X(n)=$ Level of Service (seconds of delay)

TABLE 5 - BUILD CONDITION (SUMMER PEAK PERIOD)

|  | Weekday Morning <br> Peak Hour |  | Weekday Evening <br> Peak Hour |  | Saturday Midday <br> Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Previously <br> Approved | Proposed | Previously <br> Approved | Proposed | Previously <br> Approved | Proposed |
| WB Left/Right | $\mathrm{D}(31.3)$ | $\mathrm{D}(34.5)$ | $\mathrm{D}(32.6)$ | $\mathrm{E}(35.6)$ | $\mathrm{D}(34.5)$ | $\mathrm{E}(37.7)$ |
| SB Left | $\mathrm{A}(9.9)$ | $\mathrm{B}(10.0)$ | $\mathrm{A}(8.9)$ | $\mathrm{A}(9.0)$ | $\mathrm{A}(9.3)$ | $\mathrm{A}(9.4)$ |

TABLE 6 - BUILD CONDITION (YEARLY AVERAGE)

|  | Weekday Morning <br> Peak Hour |  | Weekday Evening <br> Peak Hour |  | Saturday Midday <br> Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Previously <br> Approved | Proposed | Previously <br> Approved | Proposed | Previously <br> Approved | Proposed |
| WB Left/Right | $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{(23.9)}$ | $\mathrm{D}(25.7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(24.8)$ | $\mathrm{D}(26.4)$ | $\mathrm{D}(25.9)$ | $\mathrm{D}(27.7)$ |
| SB Left | $\mathrm{A}(9.4)$ | $\mathrm{A}(9.5)$ | $\mathrm{A}(8.6)$ | $\mathrm{A}(8.7)$ | $\mathrm{A}(9.0)$ | $\mathrm{A}(9.0)$ |

Based on the analysis conducted, the turning movements at the southerly site driveway would operate at acceptable Levels of Service during each of the peak hours studied and would operate at generally consistent delays compared to the previously approved development plan. Tables 7 and $\mathbf{8}$ summarize the Peak Summer Period and Yearly Average Build Conditions $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths at the southerly site driveway compared to the previously approved $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths.

TABLE 7 - BUILD CONDITION (SUMMER PEAK PERIOD)

|  | Weekday Morning <br> Peak Hour |  | Weekday Evening <br> Peak Hour |  | Saturday Midday <br> Peak Hour |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | Previously <br> Approved | Proposed | Previously <br> Approved | Proposed | Previously <br> Approved | Proposed |
| WB Left/Right | 1.2 veh | 1.6 veh | 1.2 veh | 1.5 veh | 1.3 veh | 1.6 veh |
| SB Left | 0.2 veh | 0.3 veh | 0.2 veh | 0.2 veh | 0.2 veh | 0.2 veh |

TABLE 8 - BUILD CONDITION (YEARLY AVERAGE)

| Lane Group | Weekday Morning Peak Hour |  | Weekday Evening Peak Hour |  | Saturday Midday Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Previously Approved | Proposed | Previously Approved | Proposed | Previously Approved | Proposed |
| WB Left/Right | 0.9 veh | 1.1 veh | 0.9 veh | 1.1 veh | 0.9 veh | 1.2 veh |
| SB Left | 0.2 veh | 0.2 veh | 0.2 veh | 0.2 veh | 0.2 veh | 0.2 veh |

As shown in Tables 7 and 8, the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length within the NJSH Route 35 southbound leftturn lane was calculated to be less than one (I) vehicle during each of the peak hours studied and the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths are generally consistent with the previously approved development plan. As such, the proposed left-turn lane, with 80 feet of available storage, would be sufficient to support the demand of the proposed development.

## Conclusions

This report was prepared to examine the traffic impacts based on the change in development plan for the proposed mixed-use development. The analysis findings, which have been based on industry standard guidelines, indicate that the proposed development would not have a significant impact on the traffic operations of the adjacent roadway network compared to the previously approved development plan. The turning movements at the southerly site driveway would operate at acceptable Levels of Service and delay during each of the peak hours studied. Additionally, the left-turn lane constructed along NJSH Route 35 would provide sufficient storage to support the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue associated with the proposed development.

Please do not hesitate to contact our office if there are any questions.
Best regards,


Matthew J. Seckler, PE, PP, PTOE
Stonefield Engineering and Design, LLC

TECHNICAL APPENDIX

FIGURES






| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | 1 |  | 1 | 4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 34 | 17 | 721 | 26 | 45 | 648 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 34 | 17 | 721 | 26 | 45 | 648 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 80 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 40 | 20 | 838 | 30 | 52 | 753 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1710 | 853 | 0 | 0 | 868 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 853 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 857 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 5.4 | 5.2 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 162 | 459 | - | - | 785 | - |
| Stage 1 | 421 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 419 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 151 | 459 | - | - | 785 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 151 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 421 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 391 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 31.3 |  | 0 |  | 0.6 |  |
| HCM LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 195 | 785 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.304 | 0.067 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 31.3 | 9.9 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | D | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 1.2 | 0.2 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement V | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | * |  | F |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 41 | 11 | 579 | 24 | 50 | 960 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 41 | 11 | 579 | 24 | 50 | 960 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Star | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 80 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 42 | 11 | 597 | 25 | 52 | 990 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | * |  | t |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 43 | 11 | 668 | 31 | 46 | 878 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 43 | 11 | 668 | 31 | 46 | 878 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 80 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 45 | 11 | 696 | 32 | 48 | 915 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1723 | 712 | 0 | 0 | 728 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 712 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 1011 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 5.4 | 5.2 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 160 | 531 | - | - | 885 | - |
| Stage 1 | 490 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 355 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 151 | 531 | - | - | 885 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 151 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 490 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 336 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 34.5 |  | 0 |  | 0.5 |  |
| HCM LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRV | VBLn1 | SBL |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 177 | 885 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.318 | 0.054 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 34.5 | 9.3 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | D | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 1.3 | 0.2 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{r}$ |  | $\uparrow$ |  | 1 | 个 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 34 | 17 | 619 | 26 | 45 | 551 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 34 | 17 | 619 | 26 | 45 | 551 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 80 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 40 | 20 | 720 | 30 | 52 | 641 |





| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\mathbf{F}$ |  | l | 中 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 43 | 11 | 578 | 31 | 46 | 751 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 43 | 11 | 578 | 31 | 46 | 751 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 80 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 45 | 11 | 602 | 32 | 48 | 782 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1496 | 618 | 0 | 0 | 634 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 618 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 878 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 5.4 | 5.2 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 207 | 585 | - | - | 959 | - |
| Stage 1 | 542 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 410 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 197 | 585 | - | - | 959 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 197 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 542 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 390 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 25.9 |  | 0 |  | 0.5 |  |
| HCM LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRV | VBLn1 | SBL |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 228 | 959 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.247 | 0.05 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 25.9 | 9 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | D | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.9 | 0.2 | - |

## PROPOSED HIGHWAY CAPACITY

 ANALYSIS DETAIL SHEETS| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement V | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | M |  | t |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 40 | 20 | 720 | 30 | 54 | 640 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 40 | 20 | 720 | 30 | 54 | 640 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Star | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | 仡 | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 80 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 47 | 23 | 837 | 35 | 63 | 744 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Her |  | $\mathbf{F}$ |  | l | 中 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 48 | 13 | 579 | 27 | 60 | 952 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 48 | 13 | 579 | 27 | 60 | 952 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 80 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 49 | 13 | 597 | 28 | 62 | 981 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\mathbf{F}$ |  | l | 中 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 49 | 13 | 670 | 35 | 54 | 873 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 49 | 13 | 670 | 35 | 54 | 873 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 80 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 51 | 14 | 698 | 36 | 56 | 909 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1737 | 716 | 0 | 0 | 734 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 716 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 1021 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 5.4 | 5.2 | - | - | 4.1 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 157 | 529 | - | - | 880 | - |
| Stage 1 | 488 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 351 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 147 | 529 | - | - | 880 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 147 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 488 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 329 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 37.7 |  | 0 |  | 0.5 |  |
| HCM LOS | E |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 173 | 880 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.373 | 0.064 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 37.7 | 9.4 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | E | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 1.6 | 0.2 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\mathbf{F}$ |  | l | 中 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 40 | 20 | 618 | 30 | 54 | 543 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 40 | 20 | 618 | 30 | 54 | 543 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 80 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 47 | 23 | 719 | 35 | 63 | 631 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | M |  | $\mathbf{t}$ |  | l | 中 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 48 | 13 | 499 | 27 | 60 | 812 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 48 | 13 | 499 | 27 | 60 | 812 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 80 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 49 | 13 | 514 | 28 | 62 | 837 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1489 | 528 | 0 | 0 | 542 | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 528 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 961 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 5.4 | 5.2 | - | - | 4.1 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.4 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5 | 3.3 | - | - | 2.2 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 209 | 642 | - | - | 1037 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 596 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 374 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 196 | 642 | - | - | 1037 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 196 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 596 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 352 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 26.4 |  | 0 |  | 0.6 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvm |  | NBT | NBR1 | BLn1 | SBL | SBT |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 230 | 1037 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - |  | 0.273 | 0.06 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 26.4 | 8.7 | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | D | A | - |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 1.1 | 0.2 | - |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\mathbf{F}$ |  | l | 中 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 49 | 13 | 580 | 35 | 54 | 746 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 49 | 13 | 580 | 35 | 54 | 746 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | 80 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 51 | 14 | 604 | 36 | 56 | 777 |



